## IN THE DRAWINGS

A proposed new Fig. 6 is submitted herewith, with a Letter to the Official Draftsman.

## <u>REMARKS</u>

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested in light of the above amendments and the following remarks.

The Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication in the Final Rejection that claims 28-31, 34-39 and 42 are directed to allowable subject matter and would be allowed if placed in independent form. Allowable claims 28-31, 34, 36, 38, 39 and 42 have been rewritten in independent form to recite the features of their base and intervening claims. Claim 35 depends from claim 34. Claim 37 depends from claim 36. These claims are deemed to be in allowable condition.

Claims 32 and 33 have been placed in independent form and are deemed to be in allowable condition for the following reasons.

The Applicants note that the specific inventions of claims

32 and 33 have not been addressed in the final rejection. These

claims are respectively directed to subject matter wherein "first

and second modulation schemes are pre-stored in a memory table,"

and "the first and second signal modulation schemes are signaled

to the receiver."

Further, the Applicants respectfully submit that the abovenoted subject matter of claims 32 and 33 is not disclosed or

PAGE 19/23 \* RCVD AT 7/25/2006 7:49:42 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:2027850200 \* DURATION (mm-ss):05-32

suggested by the applied art of record given that Riazzi merely discloses that a TDM2 signal 185 is generated using an analogous sequence of QPSK modulator 160 (col. 3, lines 58-59), the complex output from each equalizer 218 is demodulated by a QPSK demodulator 221 (col. 4, lines 37-39) and the output de-interleaved signal is DQPSK-demodulated by a DQPSK demodulator 251 (col. 4, lines 56-57).

Accordingly, it is submitted that the subject matter of claims 32 and 33 is also allowable over Riazzi.

In light of the above, it is submitted that allowance of claims 28-39 and 42 is warranted.

A new Fig. 6 is submitted herewith to overcome the objection to the drawings. The specification has been amended to be consistent with the addition of Fig. 6. This new drawing and the description thereof added to the specification is supported at least by original claim 11. No new matter is believed to be introduced by the new drawing and the amendment of the specification.

Claims 24-27, 40, 41 and 43-47 have been canceled.

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance, and a notice to that effect is respectfully solicited.

pest be resolved through a If any issues remain which may telephone communication, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned at the local Washington, D.C. telephone number listed below.

STEVENS DAVIS

Respectfully submitted,

gistration No. 28,732

Date: July 25, 2006 JEL/DWW/att

Attorney Docket No. <u>L7725.04106</u> STEVENS DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, 1615 L Street, N.W., Suite 850 P.O. Box 34387 20043-4387

Washington, D.C. Telephone: (202) 785-0100 Facsimile: (202) 408-5200